

September 19, 2025

Addendum No. 02

File Reference Number: RFP 2025 079

Title: Roof Replacement for Timmins Bus Garage

RE: Clarifications/Questions

Please refer to the following information/clarification:

CLARIFICATION

Please be advised that Contractors are responsible for the temporary removal and re-installation of the roof light poles (Quantity – 4). Power shutdown is to be coordinated with the ONTC Representative.



QUESTIONS

Item 1: First, will both existing cap metals come off and will new wood blocking (3 rows of 2x6 blocking) be installed?

Also, is any bare plywood encountered on the fascia to be covered or not?

Answer: Yes, both existing cap metals will be removed, and new wood blocking (estimated 3 rows of 2x6 blocking) will be installed - as outlined in Section 07 52 00 1.2.B

Check existing wall and perimeter wood blocking for damage and report to owner's representative. Replace to match existing as authorized. Wood blocking is to be added to ensure all perimeter details are a minimum of 8", and curbs are a minimum of 12" from the finished height of the newly installed roofing assembly.

Any bare plywood encountered on the fascia will be covered.

See photo for reference.



Item 2: Can you please clarify if the flood and gravel coat is rubberized or type 3 asphalt?

Answer: The flood and gravel coat is to be Type 3 asphalt. The cold-applied rubberized flood coat was replaced due to potential weather limitations.

Item 3: Page 103 says to "assume asbestos in roofing felts". Is asbestos removal required on this job?

Answer: If a material is suspected to contains asbestos, ONTC will conduct sampling to confirm and provide additional instructions for abatement work which will be then extra to the contract price. Respondents shall not carry any cost for Asbestos abatement.

Item 4: Pages 109-115 look like an old tender form from 2018. Should this be disregarded?

Answer: Pages 105 to 113 of the RFP is an appendix attached to the Designated Substance report and is not part of the scope. Please disregard it.

Item 5: Addendum #1 says Sarnafil can be used as an “alternate proposal” if “equal to or better than the engineer’s specifications” which is the Garland Base Bid. As it is a single ply system and not a 2 ply with an additional top coat and gravel (Garland System) is ONTC saying that Sarnafil is an approved equal that can be priced as the Base Bid? Or do we quote the Garland System as the base bid with Sarnafil as an alternate price.

Answer: Vendors should price the Garland system as the base bid and provide Sarnafil as an alternate proposal if they wish. It's their responsibility to determine if their system is equal to or better than the specified system.

Item 6: Does the RFP pricing submittal need to include the pricing of the doors and windows etc from Barry Martin Project # 17-102 or is it a RFP for just roofing?

Answer: Pages 105 to 113 of the RFP is an appendix attached to the Designated Substance report and is not part of the scope. Please disregard it.

Item 7: In Part 2 – Request for Proposals, it states that Bid Performance Security and Agreement to Bond are required to be submitted but I did not find any information stating the amount of Bid Security and what the requirements for Agreement to Bond are. Please confirm if Bid Bond and Agreement to Bond is required.

Answer: Please be advised that Bid Bond and Agreement to Bond are not required to be submitted and that was included in an error.

This Addendum hereby forms part of the RFP.

Regards,

Brinda Ranpura
Procurement Contracts Specialist
brinda.ranpura@ontarionorthland.ca